	Case 2:24-cv-01490-DAD-EFB Documer	nt 11 Filed 07/17/2	25 Page 1 of 2
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	LEROY VEAL,	No. 2:24-cv-0149	90-EFB (PC)
12	Plaintiff,		
13	V.	ORDER AND FI	
14	CAL/PIA MEAT PLANT SUPERVISOR,	KECOMMENDATIONS	
15	Defendant.		
16			
17	Plaintiff, a state inmate, proceeds without counsel in an action brought under 42 U.S.C. §		
18	1983. This proceeding was referred to this court by Local Rule 302 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §		
19	636(b)(1).		
20	On May 13, 2025, the court screened plaintiff's complaint pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915A.		
21	ECF No. 8. The court dismissed the complaint with leave to amend within 30 days for failure to		
22	state a claim. <i>Id.</i> The screening order warned plaintiff that failure to comply could result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed.		
2324			
25	The time for acting has now passed and plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint or otherwise responded to the court's order. Thus, it appears that plaintiff is unable or unwilling to		
26	cure the defects in the complaint.		
27	////		
28			
_0		1	

Case 2:24-cv-01490-DAD-EFB Document 11 Filed 07/17/25 Page 2 of 2

Accordingly, it is ORDERED that the Clerk of Court randomly assign a district judge to this action. It is further RECOMMENDED that this action be DISMISSED without prejudice for the reasons stated in the May 13, 2025 screening order.

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Any response to the objections shall be served and filed within fourteen days after service of the objections. The parties are advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. *Turner v. Duncan*, 158 F.3d 449, 455 (9th Cir. 1998); *Martinez v. Ylst*, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Dated: July 17, 2025